

1. DEFINITION AND BASIC PROPERTIES

§1.1. Quadratic Forms

An n -ary **quadratic form** over a field F is a polynomial in n variables with coefficients in F , of the form $a(\mathbf{x}) = \sum a_{ij}x_i x_j = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$ where $A = (a_{ij})$ is a symmetric matrix and $\mathbf{x}^T = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Throughout we assume that the characteristic of F is not 2. In other words, $1 + 1 \neq 2$ in F .

Quadratic forms a, b are **equivalent** if there exists an invertible matrix P such that $a(P\mathbf{x}) = b(\mathbf{x})$.

We write this as $\mathbf{a} \approx \mathbf{b}$.

Clearly \approx is an equivalence relation.

Example 1: $x_1^2 - x_2^2 \approx x_1 x_2$ since

$$x_1^2 - x_2^2 = (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 + x_2).$$

The matrix here is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Theorem 1: If $a(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$ and $b(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T B \mathbf{x}$ then $a \approx b$ if and only if A, B are congruent, that is $P^T A P = B$ for some invertible matrix P . 🙌😊



§1.2. Quadratic Spaces

A **quadratic space** V is a finite dimensional vector space over a field F together with an inner product $\langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{v} \rangle$ such that:

- (1) $\langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{v} \rangle \in F$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$;
- (2) $\langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{u} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$;
- (3) $\langle k\mathbf{u} | \mathbf{v} \rangle = k\langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{v} \rangle$ for all $k \in F, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$;
- (4) $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{w} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$.

A quadratic space is a **Euclidean space** if the zero element is the only one where $\langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$.

Examples 2:

- (1) \mathbb{R}^3 with $\langle \mathbf{u} | \mathbf{v} \rangle = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$, the usual dot product.
- (2) \mathbb{C} as a vector space of dimension 2 over \mathbb{R} with $\langle \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_2 \rangle$ defined to be $\text{Im}(\mathbf{z}_1 \mathbf{z}_2)$.

Note that $\langle 1 | 1 \rangle = 0$, so this is not Euclidean.

Denote this by \mathbb{C}_I .

- (3) \mathbb{C} as a vector space of dimension 2 over \mathbb{R} with $\langle \mathbf{z}_1 | \mathbf{z}_2 \rangle$ defined to be $\text{Re}(\mathbf{z}_1 \mathbf{z}_2)$. This is also not Euclidean since $\langle e^{\pi i/4} | e^{\pi i/4} \rangle = 0$. Denote this by \mathbb{C}_R .

Theorem 2: In a quadratic space

$$\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} [\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{y} \rangle] \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}.$$

Proof: By (2) and (4),

$$\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{y} \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle. \text{ 🙌😊}$$

Two quadratic spaces are **isometric** if there is a linear transformation $\tau: V \rightarrow W$ such that $\langle \tau(\mathbf{u}) \mid \tau(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{v} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$. We denote this by $V \cong W$. Note that because of Theorem 2 it is sufficient to show that $\langle \tau(\mathbf{v}) \mid \tau(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$.

Example 3: Show that $\mathbb{C}_R \cong \mathbb{C}_I$.

Solution:

$$\langle a + bi \mid a + bi \rangle_R = \operatorname{Re}(a + bi)^2 = a^2 - b^2.$$

$$\langle a + bi \mid a + bi \rangle_I = \operatorname{Im}(a + bi)^2 = 2ab.$$

Now use example 1.

Associated with every n -ary quadratic form $a(\mathbf{x})$ there is a quadratic space F^n with

$$\langle \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} [a(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) - a(\mathbf{x}) - a(\mathbf{y})].$$

In any quadratic space we may construct a quadratic form as follows:

Take a basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ of V and define $a(\mathbf{x}) = \sum \langle \mathbf{e}_i \mid \mathbf{e}_j \rangle x_i x_j$. The resulting quadratic form is not unique, but choosing a different basis results in an equivalent quadratic form.

We thus have the following 1-1 correspondences.

congruence		equivalence		isometry
class of	\leftrightarrow	class of	\leftrightarrow	class of
SYMMETRIC		QUADRATIC		QUADRATIC
MATRICES		FORMS		SPACES

Example 4:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow x_1^2 - y^2 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}_R$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow x_1 x_2 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}_I$$

Note that over \mathbb{R} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Positive} & & \leftrightarrow & \text{Positive definite} & \leftrightarrow & \text{Euclidean} \\ \text{definite} & & & \text{quadratic forms} & & \text{spaces} \\ \text{matrices} & & & & & \end{array}$$

§1.3. Diagonalization of Quadratic Forms

Theorem 2: Every quadratic space has an orthogonal basis.

Proof: We prove this by induction on $n = \dim V$.

It's trivial if $n = 1$.

Case I: $V^\perp \neq \mathbf{0}$. Choose $0 \neq \mathbf{v} \in V^\perp$. Then $V = \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle \oplus W$ for some W . By the induction hypothesis W has an orthogonal basis, which together with \mathbf{v} gives an orthogonal basis for V .

Case II: $V^\perp = \mathbf{0}$. By Theorem 1, there exists $\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $\langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{v} \rangle \neq 0$.

Let $W = \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle^\perp$. Since $\langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{v} \rangle \neq 0$, $\mathbf{v} \in W$.

Now $\sigma: V \rightarrow F$, defined by $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{v} \rangle$, is a linear transformation and $\text{rank } \sigma = 1$.

(If it was 0 then $\mathbf{v} \in V^\perp$.)

Hence nullity $\sigma = \dim W = n - 1$.

Thus $V = \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle \oplus W$ and so, as in Case I, V has an orthogonal basis. 🙌😊

NOTES:

- (1) A quadratic space need not have an orthonormal basis since there could be non-zero vectors of zero length.
- (2) If $\text{char } F = 2$ it need not be true.

§1.4. Classification of Quadratic Forms

Notation: $\langle d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle$ denotes $d_1x_1^2 + \dots + d_nx_n^2$.

This is called a diagonal form.

Theorem 3: Every quadratic form is equivalent to a diagonal form.

Proof: Put an orthogonal basis e_1, \dots, e_n into the quadratic space. The quadratic form becomes $\Sigma \langle \mathbf{e}_i \mid \mathbf{e}_i \rangle x_i^2$. 🙌😊

Question: When is $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \cong \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$?

Examples 4:

(1) Over \mathbb{R} : If $a, b > 0$ then $\langle a \mid b \rangle \cong \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ since

$$ax_1^2 + bx_2^2 = (\sqrt{a} x_1)^2 + (\sqrt{b} x_2)^2.$$

So the following are representatives of the 5 equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms over \mathbb{R} :

$\langle 0 \mid 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \mid 0 \rangle, \langle -1 \mid 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \mid 1 \rangle, \langle 1 \mid -1 \rangle, \langle -1 \mid -1 \rangle$.

(2) Over \mathbb{C} : These reduce to 3 equivalence classes, with representatives: $\langle 0 \mid 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \mid 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \mid 1 \rangle$.

NOTES:

- (1) $\langle 1 \mid 1 \rangle \cong \langle 1 \mid -1 \rangle$ since $x^2 + y^2 = x^2 - (iy)^2$.
- (2) $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is independent (up to equivalence) of the order of the vectors
- (3) $\langle k^2 a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \cong \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$. Square factors can be removed in any position.

A **square class** of F is an equivalence class of $F^\#$ under the equivalence relation $x \sim y$ if and only if $\frac{x}{y} \in F^{\#2}$ (non-zero squares).

We denote the square class containing x by $\mathbf{x}F^{\#2}$.

Examples 5:

- (1) \mathbb{R} has 2 equivalence classes, $\mathbb{R}^{\#2}$ (positive reals) and $-\mathbb{R}^{\#2}$ (negative reals).
- (2) \mathbb{C} has a single square class.
- (3) \mathbb{Z}_5 has two square classes viz.

$$\mathbb{Z}_5^{\#2} = \{1, 4\} \text{ and } 2\mathbb{Z}_5^{\#2} = \{2, 3\}.$$

Hence every binary quadratic form over \mathbb{Z}_5 is equivalent to one of the following:

$$\langle 0 \mid 0 \rangle, \quad \langle 1 \mid 0 \rangle, \quad \langle 2 \mid 0 \rangle, \quad \langle 1 \mid 1 \rangle, \quad \langle 1 \mid 2 \rangle, \quad \langle 2 \mid 2 \rangle.$$

However $\langle 1 \mid 1 \rangle \cong \langle 2 \mid 2 \rangle$ since

$$2x^2 + 2y^2 = (x + y)^2 + (x - y)^2.$$

- (4) \mathbb{Q} has infinitely many square classes.

§1.5. Determinant of a Quadratic Form

The **determinant** of the quadratic form $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ is defined to be the determinant of \mathbf{A} . Since $|\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}| = |\mathbf{A}| \cdot |\mathbf{P}|^2$, the determinant of equivalent quadratic forms are equivalent, that is, they belong to the same square class.

Examples 6: Over \mathbb{Q} :

(1) $\langle 3, 2 \rangle$ is not equivalent to $\langle 6, 5 \rangle$ since $\frac{30}{6} = 5 \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\#2}$.

(2) $\langle 3, 2 \rangle \cong \langle 5, 30 \rangle$ since:

$$5x^2 + 30y^2 = 3(x + 2y)^2 + 2(x - 3y)^2.$$

(3) $\langle 3, 2 \rangle$ is not equivalent to $\langle 1, 6 \rangle$ even though they have the same determinant.

For if so, $3x^2 + 2y^2 = 1$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ and hence $3m^2 + 2n^2 = d^2$ for some $m, n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We can assume that the GCD of $m, n, d = 1$ and hence 3 doesn't divide d .

Thus $\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)^2 = 2$ in \mathbb{Z}_3 , a contradiction as $2 \notin \mathbb{Z}_3^{\#2}$.

